UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,939	07/15/2003	Ruxandra Draghia-Akli	108328.00146 (AVSI-0023)	8236	
25555 JACKSON W.	7590 06/14/200 ALKER LLP	7	EXAM	EXAMINER	
901 MAIN ST			SULLIVAN, DANIEL M		
SUITE 6000 DALLAS, TX	75202-3797		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1636		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		06/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/619,939	DRAGHIA-AKLI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Daniel M. Sullivan	1636		

	Daniel M. Sullivan	1636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fort		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further col(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see N0 w);	OTE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>	corresponding number of finally re		ine issues ioi
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 29. Claim(s) objected to: 24. Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wided below or appended.	vill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A la como a su a la la constante de Cilia de la constante de l	1-41 # A 130	4 h 4
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	votice of Appear will <u>no</u> wit or other evidence is	or necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apportant and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a l).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		Daniel M Sullivan, Primary Examiner	가.D.

Art Unit: 1636

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claim 24, which previously limited the codon optimized eukaryotic therapeutic gene sequence to comprising SEQ ID NO: 7 has been amended such that the codon optimized eukaryotic therapeutic gene sequence now embraces "a codon-optimized-eukaryotic therapeutic gene sequence comprising SEQ ID NO: 7 AND HAVING AT LEASET ONE CODON MODIFICATION SPECIFIC TO THE SPECIES". The expanded scope of the claim would necessitate new rejections under 35 USC § 112, first and second paragraphs, and 35 USC § 102.